## WAREHOUSE ISR WORKING GROUP

10/30/2020

## WORKING GROUP MEETING AGENDA

> Background
$>2^{\text {nd }}$ draft rule language released $10 / 6 / 20$
> www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/planning/fbmsm-docs/draft-proposed-rule-2305.pdf
> Additional rule materials available at: www.aqmd.gov/fbomsm
> Rule compliance examples for one hypothetical warehouse
> Bounding analysis for entire PR 2305 universe of facilities
> Socioeconomic analysis methodology
$>$ Next steps

## BACKGROUND ON RULE STRINGENCY

>PR 2305 will include two phase-in schedules
> Size of warehouse determines when Points must first be earned
$>$ Stringency of rule will phase in through time
> Examples demonstrated on next slides use the same hypothetical stringency
> Example choices an individual warehouse operator might make for a 500,000 sf warehouse
> Larger than 90\% of warehouses in PR 2305 universe
> WAIRE Points Compliance Obligation (WPCO) determined using default Weighted Annual Truck Trip rates (WATTs = 175,000*)

|  | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hypothetical Stringency | 0.0002 | 0.0004 | 0.0006 | 0.0008 | 0.001 |
| WPCO | 35 | 70 | 105 | 140 | 175 |

## KEY ASSUMPTIONS FOR EXAMPLES

> 500,000 sf warehouse characteristics
> Default truck visits*: Class 8 = 83/day, Class 2b-7 = 30/day
'Baseline’ NOx Emissions (tons) by year using default trip rates and mileage and EMFAC 2017 emission rates

| 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.8 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 31.9 |

$>$ Costs and emission reductions in following slides may overlap with incentive programs or CARB rules

- Emission reductions shown do not account for additional reductions that will be facilitated by PR 2305
> Example: emission reductions only shown related to truck VMT to/from a single warehouse, not total annual VMT from a truck
$>$ Costs shown are default incremental costs from draft WAIRE Menu Technical Report www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/planning/fbmsm-docs/waire-menu-technical-report_draft_3-3-20.pdf
> Actual costs experienced by warehouse operators may be different, but Point totals for actions would not change

Draft WAIRE Menu (version 10/912020)

| Action/Investment | Action/Investment Details | Reporting Metric | Annualized Metric | WAIRE Points per Annualized Metric | Discounted WAIRE Points Subparagraph (d)(6)(A) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquire ZE/NZE Trucks in Warehouse Operator Fleet | ZE Class 8 | Number of trucks | One truck acquired | 126 | 126 |
|  | ZE Class 4-7 |  |  | 68 | 68 |
|  | ZE Class 2b-3 |  |  | 14 | 14 |
|  | NZE Class 8 |  |  | 55 | 55 |
|  | NZE Class 4-7 |  |  | 26 | 26 |
| ZE/NZE Truck Visits | ZE Class 8 | Number of visits | 365 truck visits | 51 | 33 |
|  | ZE Class 4-7 |  |  | 12 | 9 |
|  | ZE Class 2b-3 |  |  | 9 | 6 |
|  | NZE Class 8 |  |  | 42 | 24 |
|  | NZE Class 4-7 |  |  | 12 | 9 |
| Acquire ZE Yard Truck |  | Number of yard trucks | One yard truck acquired | 177 | 177 |
| Use ZE Yard Truck |  | Hours of use | 1,000 hours | 291 | 51 |
| Install Onsite ZE Charging or Fueling Infrastructure | Level 5 EVSE Purchase | Number of EVSE purchased | One EVSE purchased | 118 | 118 |
|  | Level 4 EVSE Purchase |  |  | 51 | 51 |
|  | Level 3 EVSE Purchase |  |  | 26 | 26 |
|  | Level 2 EVSE Purchase |  |  | 5 | 5 |
|  | TRU Plug EVSE Purchase |  |  | 3 | 3 |
|  | Begin construction on Level 3, 4, or 5 charger project | First day of construction | One construction project | 9 | 9 |
|  | Begin construction on Level 2 charger project |  |  | 9 | 9 |
|  | Begin construction on TRU Plug project |  |  | 5 | 5 |
|  | Finalize Level 3, 4, or 5 charger project | The latter of final permit sign off or charger energization | One construction project | 59 | 59 |
|  | Finalize Level 2 charger project |  |  | 9 | 9 |
|  | Finalize TRU Plug project |  |  | 7 | 7 |
|  | Hydrogen ( $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ) Station | Daily capacity of station in kilograms (kg) | One $700 \mathrm{~kg} /$ day station construction project | 1,680 | 1,680 |
| Use Onsite ZE Charging or Fueling Infrastructure | Vehicle Charging | Kilowatt-hours (kWh) of dispensed electricity | $165,000 \mathrm{kWh}$ | 42 | 24 |
|  | TRU Charging |  | 10,658 kWh | 10 | 3 |
|  | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ Station Usage | Kg of dispensed $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 6,152 kg | 43 | 25 |
| Install Onsite Solar Panels | Rooftop | Size of system in kW | 100 kW system | 23 | 23 |
|  | Carport |  |  | 27 | 27 |
| Use Onsite Solar Panels |  | Energy production in kWh | 165,000 kWh | 2 | 2 |
| Install High-Efficiency Filters or Filter Systems in Residences, Schools, Daycares, Hospitals, or Community Centers | Install Stand-Alone System | Number of systems installed | 25 systems | 55 | 55 |
|  | Install Filters | Number of filters installed | 200 filters | 51 | 51 |


|  | Year 1 (WPCO=35) |  |  | Year 2 (WPCO=70) |  |  | Year 3 (WPCO=105) |  |  | Year 4 (WPCO=140) |  |  | Year 5 (WPCO=175) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Buy 1 truck |  |  | Use 1 truck, Use Bank |  |  | Buy 1 truck, Use 1 truck |  |  | Use 2 trucks, Use Bank |  |  | Buy 1 truck, Use 2 trucks |  |  |
| Action | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOX } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { (year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost } \\ & (\$ 1,000 \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOx } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost } \\ & (\$ 1,000 \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOx } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { (year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost } \\ & (\$ 1,000 \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOX } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost } \\ & (\$ 1,000 \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOX } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost } \\ & (\$ 1,000 \\ & / \text { year }) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Truck Purchase | 55 |  | \$65 |  | - | - | 55 |  | \$65 | - | - | - | 55 | 0 | \$65 |
| Truck Visits* | - | - | - | 60 | 0.07 | \$5 | 60 | 0.07 | \$5 | 120 | 0.14 | \$10 | 120 | 0.14 | \$10 |
| Banked Points Used | - | - | - | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | 20 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 55 | 0 | \$65 | 70 | 0.07 | \$5 | 115 | 0.07 | \$70 | 140 | 0.14 | \$10 | 175 | 0.14 | \$75 |
| Points Banked | 20 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 10 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Points in Bank at End of Year | 20 |  |  | 10 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Notes:

* One truck assumed to make 10 visits/week, 52 weeks/yr = 520 visits/yr


2) PURCHASE/USE CLASS 8 NZE TRUCK (NO INCENTIVES, EARLY PURCHASE)

|  | Year 1 (WPCO=35) |  |  | Year 2 (WPCO=70) |  |  | Year 3 (WPCO=105) |  |  | Year 4 (WPCO=140) |  |  | Year 5 (WPCO=175) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Buy 2 trucks |  |  | Use 2 trucks |  |  | Use 2 trucks |  |  | Use 2 trucks, Use Bank |  |  | Use 2 trucks, Use Bank |  |  |
| Action | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOX } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ (\$ 1,000 \\ \text { /year) } \end{gathered}$ | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOx } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ (\$ 1,000 \\ \text { /year }) \end{gathered}$ | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOx } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ (\$ 1,000 \\ \text { /year }) \end{gathered}$ | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOx } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ (\$ 1,000 \\ \text { /year) } \end{gathered}$ | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOX } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ (\$ 1,000 \\ \text { /year }) \end{gathered}$ |
| Truck Purchase | 110 | - | \$130 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Truck Visits* | - | - | - | 120 | 0.14 | \$10 | 120 | 0.14 | \$10 | 120 | 0.14 | \$10 | 120 | 0.14 | \$10 |
| Banked Points Used | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 20 | - | - | 55 | - | - |
| Total | 110 | 0 | \$130 | 120 | 0.14 | \$10 | 120 | 0.14 | \$10 | 140 | 0.14 | \$10 | 175 | 0.14 | \$10 |
| Points Banked | 75 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 15 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Points in Bank at End of Year | 75 |  |  | 125 |  |  | 140 |  |  | 65^ |  |  | 10 |  |  |

Notes:

* One truck assumed to make 10 visits/week, 52 weeks/yr = 520 visits/yr
^ Year 1 Banked Points expired

NOx Reduced

3) PURCHASE/USE MOYER-FUNDED CLASS 8 NZE TRUCK

|  | Year 1 (WPCO=35) |  |  | Year 2 (WPCO=70) |  |  | Year 3 (WPCO=105) |  |  | Year 4 (WPCO=140) |  |  | Year 5 (WPCO=175) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Action | Buy 1 truck, Pay Mit. Fee |  |  | Use 1 truck, Pay Mit. Fee |  |  | Buy 2 trucks, Use 1 truck,Pay Mit. Fee |  |  | Use 3 trucks |  |  | Use 3 trucks |  |  |
|  | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOx } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost } \\ & (\$ 1,000 \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | Points Earned | NOx (ton /year) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ (\$ 1,000 \\ \text { /year } \end{gathered}$ | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOx } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ (\$ 1,000 \\ \text { /year }) \end{gathered}$ | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOX } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ (\$ 1,000 \\ \text { /year) } \end{gathered}$ | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOX } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ (\$ 1,000 \\ \text { /year) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Truck Purchase** | 0 | 0 | \$0 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | \$0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Truck Visits^ | - | - | - | 60 | 0.07 | \$5 | 60 | 0.07 | \$5 | 180 | 0.21 | \$15 | 180 | 0.21 | \$15 |
| Mitigation Fee | 35 | 0 | \$35 | 10 | 0 | \$10 | 45 | 0 | \$45 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mitigation Fee Program ${ }^{\#}$ | - | - | - | - | 0.35 | - | - | 0.1 | - | - | 0.45 | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 35 | 0 | \$35 | 70 | 0.42 | \$15 | 105 | 0.17 | \$50 | 180 | 0.66 | \$15 | 180 | 0.21 | \$15 |
| Points Banked | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 40 |  |  | 5 |  |  |
| Points in Bank at End of Year | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 40 |  |  | 45 |  |  |

Notes:

* Trucks bought using Moyer funds do not earn WAIRE Points for the truck purchase
^ One truck assumed to make ten visits/week, 52 weeks/yr
\# Mitigation program would prioritize funding projects near warehouses that paid the fee. Assumes $\$ 100 \mathrm{k} /$ ton. Funds collected in one year are spent in following year.


4) USE CLASS 8 NZE TRUCKS FROM NON-OWNED FLEETS

|  | Year 1 (WPCO=35) |  |  | Year 2 (WPCO=70) |  |  | Year 3 (WPCO=105) |  |  | Year 4 (WPCO=140) |  |  | Year 5 (WPCO=175) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 300 truck visits |  |  | 600 truck visits |  |  | 900 truck visits |  |  | 1,200 truck visits |  |  | 1,500 truck visits |  |  |
| Action | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { NOX } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ (\$ 1,000 \\ \text { /year) } \end{gathered}$ | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOx } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ (\$ 1,000 \\ \text { /year }) \end{gathered}$ | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOx } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { (year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ (\$ 1,000 \\ / \text { year }) \end{gathered}$ | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { NOX } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ (\$ 1,000 \\ \text { /year }) \end{gathered}$ | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOX } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ (\$ 1,000 \\ \text { /year) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Truck Visits* | 35 | 0.09 | \$3^ | 70 | 0.13 | \$6 | 105 | 0.2 | \$10 | 140 | 0.27 | \$13 | 175 | 0.34 | \$16 |
| Total | 35 | 0.09 | \$3 | 70 | 0.13 | \$6 | 105 | 0.2 | \$10 | 140 | 0.27 | \$13 | 175 | 0.34 | \$16 |
| Points Banked | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Points in Bank at End of Year | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

## Notes:

* Warehouse operator would ensure that NZE trucks from
third parties are used at the facility at the level shown
Includes fuel costs and incremental capital cost of
non-incentivized NZE truck (amortized over 3 years).



## 5) USE CLASS 8 ZE TRUCKS FROM NON-OWNED FLEETS

|  | Year 1 (WPCO=35) |  |  | Year 2 (WPCO=70) |  |  | Year 3 (WPCO=105) |  |  | Year 4 (WPCO=140) |  |  | Year 5 (WPCO=175) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pay Mit. Fee |  |  | 500 truck visits |  |  | 750 truck visits |  |  | 1,000 truck visits |  |  | 1,250 truck visits |  |  |
| Action | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOx } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost } \\ & (\$ 1,000 \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOX } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost } \\ & \text { (\$1,000 } \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOx } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { (year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cost } \\ (\$ 1,000 \\ \text { /year }) \end{gathered}$ | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOX } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ (\$ 1,000 \\ \text { /year) } \end{gathered}$ | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOX } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost } \\ & (\$ 1,000 \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Truck Visits* | - | - | - | 70 | 0.12 | \$75 | 105 | 0.19 | \$112 | 140 | 0.25 | \$149 | 175 | 0.32 | \$187 |
| Mitigation Fee | 35^ | 0 | \$35 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mitigation Fee Program ${ }^{\#}$ | - | - | - | - | 0.35 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 35 | 0 | \$3 | 70 | 0.47 | \$75 | 105 | 0.19 | \$112 | 140 | 0.25 | \$149 | 175 | 0.32 | \$187 |
| Points Banked | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Points in Bank at End of Year | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Notes:

* Warehouse operator would ensure that NZE trucks from third parties are used at the facility at the level shown Assumes Class 8 ZE trucks not commercially available until Year 2
\# Mitigation program would prioritize funding projects near warehouses that paid the fee. Assumes \$100k/ton. Funds collected in one year are spent in following year.


6) INSTALL/USE ZE INFRASTRUCTURE \& PURCHASE/USE ZE CLASS 6 \& 8 TRUCKS

| Action | Year 1 (WPCO=35) |  |  | Year 2 (WPCO=70) |  |  | Year 3 (WPCO=105) |  |  | Year 4 (WPCO=140) |  |  | Year 5 (WPCO=175) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Install 1 charger |  |  | Buy 1 C6 truck, Use Bank |  |  | Buy 1 C6 truck, Use 1 C6 truck, Use charger, Use bank |  |  | Buy 1 C6 truck, Use 2 C6 trucks, Use charger |  |  | Buy 1 C8 truck, Use 3 C6 trucks, Use charger, Use bank |  |  |
|  | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOx } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost } \\ & (\$ 1,000 \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { NOX } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cost } \\ & (\$ 1,000 \\ & \text { /year }) \end{aligned}$ | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOx } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { (year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost } \\ & \text { (\$1,000 } \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOx } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost } \\ & \text { (\$1,000 } \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOX } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { (year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost } \\ & (\$ 1,000 \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Install Lvl 3 Charger | 94 | 0 | \$110 | - | - | - | - | . | - | - | . | - | - | . | - |
| Purchase Truck | - | - | - | 68 | 0 | \$80 | 68 | 0 | \$80 | 68 | 0 | \$80 | 126 | - | \$150 |
| Truck Visits* | - | - | - |  |  |  | 17 | 0.02 | -\$6 | 34 | 0.04 | -\$12 | 51 | 0.06 | -\$18 |
| Charger Usage^ | - | - | - |  |  |  | 8 |  | \$0 | 16 |  | \$0 | 25 | - | \$0 |
| Use Banked Points | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 12 | - | - | 22 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 94 | 0 | \$110 | 70 | 0 | \$80 | 105 | 0.02 | \$74 | 167 | 0.04 | \$68 | 202 | 0.06 | \$132 |
| Points Banked | 59 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 27 |  |  |
| Points in Bank at End of Year | 59 |  |  | 57 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 0\# |  |  | 27 |  |  |

Notes:

* Each truck assumed to make ten visits/week, 52 weeks/yr
^ Assumes $33,000 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{yr}$ for each C6 truck
\# Year 1 Banked Points expired

NOx Reduced
(tons)
0.12

Total Cost
$\$ 464 \mathrm{k}$

Cost (\$/sq. ft./yr)
$\$ 0.19$

|  | Year 1 (WPCO=35) |  |  | Year 2 (WPCO=70) |  |  | Year 3 (WPCO=105) |  |  | Year 4 (WPCO=140) |  |  | Year 5 (WPCO=175) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Action | 300 truck visits |  |  | 600 truck visits |  |  | 900 truck visits |  |  | 1,200 truck visits |  |  | 1,500 truck visits |  |  |
|  | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOX } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ (\$ 1,000 \\ \text { /year) } \end{gathered}$ | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOx } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ (\$ 1,000 \\ \text { /year }) \end{gathered}$ | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOx } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { (year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ (\$ 1,000 \\ / \text { year }) \end{gathered}$ | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOx } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ (\$ 1,000 \\ \text { /year) } \end{gathered}$ | Points Earned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOX } \\ & \text { (ton } \\ & \text { /year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ (\$ 1,000 \\ \text { /year) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Mitigation Fee | 35 | 0 | \$35 | 70 | 0 | \$70 | 105 | 0 | \$105 | 140 | 0 | \$140 | 175 | 0 | \$175 |
| Mitigation Fee Program* | - | - | - | - | 0.35 | - | - | 0.70 | - | - | 1.05 | - | - | 1.40 | - |
| Total | 35 | 0 | \$35 | 70 | 0.35 | \$70 | 105 | 0.70 | \$105 | 140 | 1.05 | \$140 | 175 | 1.40 | \$175 |
| Points Banked | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Points in Bank at End of Year | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Notes:

* Mitigation program would prioritize funding projects near warehouses that paid the fee. Assumes $\$ 100 k /$ ton. Funds collected in one year are spent in following year.



## SUMMARY OF EXAMPLES FOR A 500,000 SF WAREHOUSE WITH A STRINGENCY = 0.001

| Example <br> $\#$ | Example | 5-year <br> NOx <br> $($ tons $)$ | 5-year <br> Cost <br> $(\$ 1,000)$ | Cost per <br> sq. ft./yr. |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Purchase/Use Class 8 NZE Truck (No Incentives) | 0.42 | $\$ 225$ | $\$ 0.09$ |
| 2 | Purchase/Use Class 8 NZE Truck (No Incentives, Early Purchase) | 0.56 | $\$ 170$ | $\$ 0.07$ |
| 3 | Purchase/Use Moyer-Funded Class 8 NZE Truck | 1.46 | $\$ 130$ | $\$ 0.05$ |
| 4 | Use Class 8 NZE Trucks from 3rd party fleets | 1.03 | $\$ 48$ | $\$ 0.02$ |
| 5 | Use Class 8 ZE Trucks from 3rd party fleets | 1.23 | $\$ 558$ | $\$ 0.22$ |
| 6 | Install/Use ZE infrastructure \& purchase/use ZE Class 6 \& 8 Trucks | 0.12 | $\$ 464$ | $\$ 0.19$ |
| 7 | Pay Mitigation Fee | 3.50 | $\$ 525$ | $\$ 0.21$ |

> Incremental costs and emission reductions may partially overlap with incentive programs or CARB rules
> PR 2305 would ensure that emission reductions occur in South Coast AQMD

## PR 2305 UNIVERSE 'ONE-OPTION' BOUNDING ANALYSIS EXAMPLE

> Table on next slide shows entire PR 2305 universe using same final hypothetical stringency as previous 500k sf warehouse examples
> Only showing ‘Year 5’ snapshot (i.e. 2026), which requires $\sim 0.22$ million total WAIRE Points from entire warehouse universe
> Table shows potential outcome if all warehouse operators only choose one option to comply with the rule
> Each row yields $\sim 0.22$ million WAIRE Points
$>$ Rows should not be added together
$>$ Table does not account for earlier investments being used to reduce costs in modeled year

## PR 2305 UNIVERSE ‘ONE-OPTION’ BOUNDING ANALYSIS EXAMPLE ( $\sim 0.22$ MILLION POINTS, SEE PREVIOUS SLIDE)

| WAIRE Menu Action | Annual Actions | Notes | NOx <br> Reductions (tpd) | Diesel PM Reductions (tpd) | Cost per sq. ft./yr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZE Class 8 Truck Visits | ~1.6 million visits | -3\% of all HHD VMT in SCAB | 1.2 | 0.01 | \$0.31 |
| NZE Class 8 Truck Visits | $\sim 1.9$ million visits | $\sim 3 \%$ of all HHD VMT in SCAB | 1.1 | 0.01 | \$0.03 |
| ZE Class 8 Truck Purchases | ~1,753 trucks | $\sim 2 \%$ of all HHD vehicles in SCAB | N/A | N/A | \$0.35 |
| NZE Class 8 Truck Purchases | -4,016 trucks | $\sim 4 \%$ of all HHD vehicles in SCAB | N/A | N/A | \$0.34 |
| Level 3 Charger Installations | -2,350 chargers |  | N/A | N/A | \$0.34 |
| Level 5 Charger Installations | $\sim 1,187$ chargers |  | N/A | N/A | \$0.34 |
| Charger Usage | $\sim 868$ GWh | $\sim 0.3 \%$ of state electrical demand | 3.9 | 0.03 | \$0.21 |
| H2 Station Installations | $\sim 131$ stations |  | N/A | N/A | \$0.35 |
| H2 Station Usage | ~32 million kg |  | 3.8 | 0.03 | \$0.42 |
| ZE Hostler Usage | $\sim 0.8$ million hrs of use | $\sim 0.8 \mathrm{hrs} /$ day per warehouse | 0.41 | 0.02 | \$0.01 |
| Solar Panel Installations | ~1.0 GW | -9\% of all warehouse roof space | N/A | N/A | \$3.29 |
| Solar Panel Generation | ~9,300 GWh | Only enough roof space available to earn about 50\% of Points needed | <1.8* | N/A | \$0 |
| Mitigation Fee | -\$220 million | Assumes Mitigation Program achieves $\$ 100 \mathrm{k} /$ ton NOx | 6.0 | Not Calculated | \$0.29 |

## POTENTIAL COSTS OF HYPOTHETICAL SCENARIOS RELATIVE TO CURRENT LEASE RATES

Costs from hypothetical scenarios on previous slides typically range from ~\$0.02/sf - \$0.35/sf
<4\% of current lease rates
$>$ Industry has experienced higher average annual increases in lease rates since 2011
$>$ With decreasing vacancy

Inland Empire Industrial Lease Rates (3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ Qtr 2020)


## SOUTH COAST AQMD SOCIOECONOMIC ASSESSMENTS (SIAs)

> South Coast AQMD staff prepares a SIA for most South Coast AQMD rules
> Under Health and Safety Code 40440.8, 'typical' SIAs consider:
> Types of businesses affected
> One-time compliance costs (capital costs):
> Cost of purchasing NZE/ZE trucks; charging stations; hydrogen fueling stations; etc.
> Recurring costs (annual costs):
> Additional fuel cost for NZE trucks; fuel cost savings for ZE trucks; electric charger usage; hydrogen station usage; and mitigation fee.
> Job and economic impacts associated with implementation of PR 2305

- Emission reduction potential
> Necessity of rule in order to attain state and federal air quality standards


## SCOPE OF SOCIOECONOMIC ANALYSIS FOR PR 2305

## Five components

> 'Typical' socioeconomic analysis for rule (previous slide)
> Demographic analysis of communities near warehouses and warehouse employees
> Use results from Port Clean Truck Fund Rate economic study
> Additional study of likelihood of warehouse relocations by Industrial Economics, Inc. (IEc). and Calstart
$>3^{\text {rd }}$ party peer review

## IEc STUDY CONTRACT TASKS

1. Assessment of warehousing in South Coast AQMD region
2. Assessment of real estate markets in adjacent areas
3. Assessment of truck fleets serving warehouses in South Coast AQMD region and neighboring areas
4. Estimating changes in warehouse costs if relocated
5. Relocation scenario analyses of ISR rule

Assessment of local warehousing industry, local fleets, and space to relocate warehousing outside of South Coast AQMD region.

Modeling and estimating likelihood of warehouses moving due to warehouse ISR.

## IEc STUDY METHODOLOGY - TASKS 1-3

## 1. Assessment of warehousing in South Coast AQMD region

> Defined nine warehouse categories by expanding upon SCAG 2018 report
> Interviewed industry stakeholders about factors affecting location decisions
> Multiple stakeholders pointed to transportation network
2. Assessment of real-estate markets in adjacent areas
> Identified available warehouse space in nearby regions outside the South Coast AQMD region using CoStar
> Produced medium- and long-term trends of warehouse capacity using CoStar
3. Assessment of regional and nearby truck fleets serving warehouses
> Involves surveying warehouses with fleets. Surveying efforts difficult in wake of COVID-19

## IEc STUDY METHODOLOGY - TASK 4

4. Estimating changes in warehouse operation costs if relocated
> Considers relocation of each warehouse to seven different nearby regions
> Uses 'pathway' results from Leachman (2017) study
> Includes cost differences due to the following:
> Transportation costs (changes in trucking/rail distance)
> Relocation costs (moving operations to warehouse in nearby region)
> Labor costs (accounts for differences in
 industry-specific wage rates)
> Power costs (accounts for differences in electric power costs outside CA)

## IEc STUDY METHODOLOGY - TASK 5

## 5. Relocation scenario analyses of ISR rule

> Each scenario analysis does the following:
> Compares average expected PR 2305 compliance cost to cost of relocating to any nearby region (20-year timeframe)
> If compliance cost > relocation cost, warehouse may choose to relocate due to PR 2305
> Many PR 2305 compliance scenario analyses possible
> Examples include different compliance costs per square foot of warehouse, and/or different phase-in schedules

## NEXT STEPS AND CONTACTS

> Future opportunities for 'formal' public comment
> CEQA
> Preliminary draft staff report
> Draft staff report
> Governing Board
> Mobile Source Committee and Public Hearing for rule adoption
> 'Informal' comments/discussions also encouraged
> Victor Juan, PR 2305 Program Supervisor vjuan@aqmd.gov, (909) 396-2374
> Shah Dabirian, Socioeconomic Analysis Program Supervisor sdabirian@aqmd.gov, (909) 396-3076

